

$1/m_b$ source in inclusive b -hadron decays

Huei-Shih Liao¹ and Hsiang-nan Li²

¹Department of Physics, National Tsing-Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan 300, Republic of China

²Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan 115, Republic of China

PACS numbers: 13.20.He, 12.38.Bx, 12.38.Cy, 14.40.Nd

Abstract

We show that threshold resummation of the end-point logarithms in inclusive b -hadron decays leads to a Sudakov factor, which introduces $1/m_b$ power corrections through infrared renormalons. These power corrections are strong enough to account for the observed semileptonic branching ratio, charm yield, and lifetime ratios by breaking the local quark-hadron duality.

Several puzzles in inclusive heavy hadron decays have existed for some time. The observed semileptonic branching ratio B_{SL} and charm yield n_c of the inclusive B meson decays were $B_{\text{SL}} = (10.19 \pm 0.37)\%$ and $n_c = 1.12 \pm 0.05$ from CLEO [1], and $B_{\text{SL}} = (11.12 \pm 0.20)\%$ and $n_c = 1.20 \pm 0.07$ from LEP [2]. For updated values, refer to [3]. The naive parton model, which coincides with leading-power heavy quark effective theory (HQET) [4], gives $B_{\text{SL}} \sim 13\%$ [5]. The $1/m_b^2$ corrections are less than 5% of the leading ones [6]. Another puzzle is the low lifetime ratio $\tau(\Lambda_b)/\tau(B_d) = 0.794 \pm 0.053$ [3]. The HQET prediction up to $O(1/m_b^2)$ is about 0.99 [7]. When including the $O(1/m_b^3)$ corrections, the ratio depends on six unknown parameters, and reduces only to around 0.95 for various model estimates [6].

There has been the suggestion that $1/m_b$ corrections might appear, *i.e.*, the local duality might break down in nonleptonic decays [8]. It has been observed that if m_b was replaced by the b -hadron mass in the phase-space factor m_b^5 associated with nonleptonic decays, the predictions agree well with the above data [9]. This modification reduces B_{SL} by increasing the nonleptonic branching ratios, and explains the absolute B meson decay rate [10]. Note that HQET based on the b quark kinematics accounts for only 80% of the decay rate. However, the mass replacement is lack of a solid theoretical base.

In this letter we shall propose possible mechanism responsible for the breakdown of the local duality, which arises from threshold resummation associated with final-state particles. It has been shown that resummation for the initial heavy hadron does not violate the duality [11]. Define the variable $\bar{\Lambda} = m_B - m_b$ as the B meson and b quark mass difference. In the kinematic region with the invariant mass of the outgoing quark being $O(\bar{\Lambda}m_b)$, infrared divergences in the decays are factorized into a B meson structure function $f(k)$ [12,13], k being the residual momentum carried by the b quark. The first moment of $f(k)$ vanishes, implying that nonperturbative corrections start from $O(1/m_b^2)$. In the end-point region with the invariant mass vanishing like $O(\bar{\Lambda}^2)$, additional collinear divergences from loop momenta parallel to the energetic quark momentum occur in higher-order corrections, which demand the introduction of a jet function. Take the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ transition as an example. The end-point logarithms $\alpha_s \ln(1-x)/(1-x)_+$ ($\alpha_s \ln^2 N$ in Mellin space) with $x = 2E_\gamma/m_b$, where E_γ is the photon energy, should be organized into a jet function $J(1-x)$.

Threshold resummation of the above double logarithms leads to [14]

$$J(1-x) = \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{dN}{2\pi i} \tilde{J}(N) x^{-N}, \quad \tilde{J}(N) = \exp \left[\int_0^1 dz \frac{z^{N-1} - 1}{1-z} \int_{(1-z)^2}^{1-z} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \gamma_K(\alpha_s(\lambda m_b^2)) \right], \quad (1)$$

where c is an arbitrary real constant larger than the real parts of all the poles in the Sudakov factor $\tilde{J}(N)$, and the anomalous dimension is given, up to one loop, by $\gamma_K = \alpha_s C_F/\pi$, $C_F = 4/3$ being a color factor. Note that the physical range of x is $0 \leq x \leq m_B/m_b$. We show that infrared renormalons in Eq. (1) generate $1/m_b$ corrections by inserting the identity $\alpha_s(\mu^2) = \pi \int_0^\infty d\sigma \exp[-\sigma\beta_1 \ln(\mu^2/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2)]$ into $\tilde{J}(N)$, with the coefficient $\beta_1 = (33 - 2n_f)/12$, n_f being the flavor number. Performing the integration over λ , we have

$$\tilde{J}(N) = \exp \left\{ C_F \int_0^\infty \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma\beta_1} \int_0^1 dz \frac{z^{N-1} - 1}{1-z} \left[\frac{1}{(1-z)^{2\sigma\beta_1}} - \frac{1}{(1-z)^{\sigma\beta_1}} \right] \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2}{m_b^2} \right)^{\sigma\beta_1} \right\}. \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) can be expressed as a sum of contributions from all the infrared renormalons. It is known that the leading infrared renormalon from $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to perturbative resummation. This can be understood by considering the $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ limit of the integrand in Eq. (2):

$$\exp \left[\text{const.} \int_0^1 dz \frac{z^{N-1} - 1}{1 - z} \ln(1 - z) \right] \equiv \tilde{J}(N)|_{\alpha_s} \approx \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \gamma_K \ln^2 N \right). \quad (3)$$

The integrand on the left-hand side has the same functional form as in $\tilde{J}(N)$, if α_s was fixed, except the undetermined const.. Hence, the left-hand side is equivalent to $\tilde{J}(N)|_{\alpha_s}$ at the leading-logarithm accuracy. Substitute Eq. (3) into the inverse Mellin transformation in Eq. (1), and choose the branch cut along the negative real axis in the complex N plane. For $0 < x < 1$, we enclose the contour in Eq. (1) toward the minus real axis. The discontinuity crossing the branch cut gives, using the variable change $w = \ln N$ [15],

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} J(1 - x)|_{\alpha_s} = -\exp \left(\frac{\gamma_K}{2} \pi^2 + \frac{1}{2\gamma_K} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dw}{\pi} \sin(\pi \gamma_K w) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \gamma_K w^2 \right) = 0, \quad (4)$$

because the integrand is an odd function of w . For $x > 1$, we enclose the contour toward the positive real axis, where there is no cut and no pole, indicating $J(1 - x)|_{\alpha_s} = 0$ for $x > 1$. It is obvious from Eq. (1) that $J(1 - x)|_{\alpha_s}$ vanishes at $x = 0$. Therefore, we obtain the qualitative behavior of $J(1 - x)|_{\alpha_s}$: it takes a value for $0 < x < 1$, and diminishes at $x = 0$ and at $x = 1$.

The next-to-leading pole from $\sigma \rightarrow 1/(2\beta_1)$ leads to

$$\exp \left[\text{const.} \int_0^1 dz \frac{z^{N-1} - 1}{1 - z} \left(\frac{1}{1 - z} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - z}} \right) \frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{m_b} \right]. \quad (5)$$

We neglect the second term in the brackets, which is not important compared to the first term, and employ the approximation $z^{N-1} = (1 + z - 1)^{N-1} \approx 1 + N(z - 1)$, since the integral over z is dominated by the region $z \sim 1$. Equation (5) becomes $\exp[\text{const.}(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_b)N] \approx 1 + \text{const.}(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_b)N$, up to corrections of $O(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2/m_b^2)$, where the divergent integral $\int dz/(1 - z)$ has been absorbed into the ambiguous const.. Picking up the first two renormalons, we write

$$\tilde{J}(N) = \tilde{J}(N)|_{\alpha_s} + \text{const.} \frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{m_b} N \tilde{J}(N)|_{\alpha_s}. \quad (6)$$

Inserting the above expression into Eq. (1), the second term leads to $\text{const.}(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_b)xJ'(1 - x)|_{\alpha_s}$. To have meaningful predictions, a $1/m_b$ power correction must appear to cancel this ambiguous term. Viewing its functional form, this $1/m_b$ correction can be introduced by Fourier expanding the jet function:

$$J \left(1 - t - \frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{m_b} t \right) = J(1 - t) - \frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{m_b} t J'(1 - t), \quad (7)$$

where the variable change $x = (m_B/m_b)t$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, has been made. It can be shown that the $\sigma \rightarrow 1/(2\beta_1)$ renormalon in the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (7) is cancelled by the $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ renormalon in the second term under an appropriate prescription for extracting the renormalon contribution. The details will be published elsewhere. After this cancellation, we freeze the coupling constants in the above two terms, and obtain well-defined predictions for inclusive b -hadron decays.

We study the semileptonic decays $b \rightarrow cl\bar{\nu}$ for the lepton $l = e, \mu, \tau$. Adopt the scaling variables $x = 2E_l/m_B$ and $y = q^2/m_B^2$ for the b quark decays, where E_l is the lepton energy and $q = p_l + p_{\bar{\nu}}$ the momentum of the lepton pair. The physical bounds in the ranges,

$$2\alpha_l \leq x \leq 1 + \alpha_l^2 - \alpha_D^2, \quad \alpha_l^2 + (1 + \alpha_l^2 - \alpha_D^2 - x) \frac{x - \sqrt{x^2 - 4\alpha_l^2}}{2 - x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4\alpha_l^2}} \leq y \leq \alpha_l^2 + (1 + \alpha_l^2 - \alpha_D^2 - x) \frac{x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4\alpha_l^2}}{2 - x - \sqrt{x^2 - 4\alpha_l^2}}, \quad (8)$$

can be achieved, since the b quark carries residual momentum [12]. The ratios are defined by $\alpha_{l,D,c} \equiv m_{l,D,c}/m_B$, m_l , m_D and m_c being the lepton mass, the D meson mass, and the charm quark mass, respectively. m_D appears as the minimal invariant mass of the decay product X_c .

For these modes, loop corrections do not generate double logarithms, because the c quark is massive and collinear divergences are absent. The factorization formula for the total decay width is then as simple as

$$\Gamma_{l\nu} = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2}{8\pi^3} m_b^2 \int dE_l dq^2 H\left(\frac{2E_l}{m_b}, \frac{q^2}{m_b^2}\right) = \Gamma_0 \left(\frac{m_B}{m_b}\right)^3 \int dxdy H\left(\frac{m_B}{m_b}x, \frac{m_B^2}{m_b^2}y\right), \quad (9)$$

with $\Gamma_0 = G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2 m_b^5 / (16\pi^3)$ and the hard part $H(x, y) = (1 + y - x - m_c^2/m_b^2)(x - y - m_l^2/m_b^2)$. Equation (9) does not involve the structure function $f(k)$, since the higher-power contributions it introduces start from $O(1/m_b^2)$. Expanding the above expression up to $\bar{\Lambda}/m_B$, we obtain

$$\Gamma_{l\nu} = \Gamma_0 \int dxdy \left\{ \left(1 + 4\frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{m_b}\right) (1 + y - x - \alpha_c^2)(x - y - \alpha_l^2) - \frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{m_B} [(y + \alpha_l^2)(1 - 2x + 3y - 3\alpha_c^2) - x(2y - x - 2\alpha_c^2)] \right\}. \quad (10)$$

For the nonleptonic decays $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$, the threshold resummation effect is associated with the energetic s quark, and both the c and $\bar{c}$ quarks are regarded as being on-shell. The definitions of the scaling variables are modified into $x = 2E_c/m_B$, $y = (p_c + p_{\bar{c}})^2/m_B^2$, and $y_0 = 2(E_c + E_{\bar{c}})/m_B$, with E_c ($E_{\bar{c}}$) and p_c ($p_{\bar{c}}$) being the c ($\bar{c}$) quark energy and momentum, respectively. Their physical ranges are

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha_c &\leq x \leq 1 \\ \frac{(1-x)(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 4\alpha_c^2}) + 2\alpha_c^2}{2(1-x + \alpha_c^2)} &\leq y \leq \frac{(1-x)(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4\alpha_c^2}) + 2\alpha_c^2}{2(1-x + \alpha_c^2)}, \\ \frac{1}{2\alpha_c^2} [xy - \sqrt{x^2 - 4\alpha_c^2}\sqrt{y(y - 4\alpha_c^2)}] &\leq y_0 \leq 1 + y. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The factorization formula for the total decay width is written as

$$\Gamma_{\bar{c}s} = \Gamma_0 \left(\frac{m_B}{m_b}\right)^4 \int dxdydy_0 c(m_b) H\left(\frac{m_B}{m_b}x, \frac{m_B^2}{m_b^2}y, \frac{m_B}{m_b}y_0\right) J\left(1 - \frac{m_B}{m_b}y_0 + \frac{m_B^2}{m_b^2}y\right), \quad (12)$$

with $H(x, y, y_0) = (y_0 - x)(x - y)$. The factor $c(m_b)$ is given by $c(m_b) = (N_c + 1)c_+^2(m_b)/2 + (N_c - 1)c_-^2(m_b)/2$ with the color number $N_c = 3$ and $c_{\pm} = c_2 \pm c_1$, where the Wilson coefficients c_1 and c_2 correspond to the four-fermion operators $O_1 = (\bar{s}_L \gamma_{\mu} b_L)(\bar{c}_L \gamma^{\mu} c_L)$ and $O_2 = (\bar{c}_L \gamma_{\mu} b_L)(\bar{s}_L \gamma^{\mu} c_L)$, respectively. We have confirmed that our predictions are insensitive to the choices of the arguments of the Wilson coefficients. Expanding H and J up to $O(\bar{\Lambda}/m_b)$, Eq. (12) becomes

$$\Gamma_{\bar{c}s} = \Gamma_0 \int dxdydy_0 c(m_b) \left\{ \left(1 + 6\frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{m_b}\right) (y_0 - x)(x - y)\delta(1 - y_0 + y) - \frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{m_b} [(y_0 - x)yJ(1 - y_0 + y) + (y_0 - x)(x - y)(y_0 - 2y)J'(1 - y_0 + y)] \right\}. \quad (13)$$

Note that the jet function for the leading term, representing part of perturbative corrections, has been set to the δ -function. This is for consistency, because the semileptonic decays are evaluated to leading order in α_s .

For the $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$ mode, we associate the threshold resummation effect with the energetic $\bar{u}$ and d quarks, and regard the c quark as being on-shell. The scaling variables are defined by $w = p_{\bar{u}}^2/m_B^2$, $x = 2E_c/m_B$, $y = (p_c + p_{\bar{u}})^2/m_B^2$, and $y_0 = 2(E_c + E_{\bar{u}})/m_B$, whose ranges are

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq w \leq (1 - \alpha_c)^2, \\ 2\alpha_c &\leq x \leq 1 - w + \alpha_c^2, \\ \frac{x - \sqrt{x^2 - 4\alpha_c^2}}{2} + \frac{2 - x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4\alpha_c^2}}{2(1 - x + \alpha_c^2)} w &\leq y \leq \frac{x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4\alpha_c^2}}{2} + \frac{2 - x - \sqrt{x^2 - 4\alpha_c^2}}{2(1 - x + \alpha_c^2)} w, \\ \frac{1}{2\alpha_c^2} [x(y - w + \alpha_c^2) - \sqrt{x^2 - 4\alpha_c^2}\sqrt{(y - w - \alpha_c^2)^2 - 4\alpha_c^2 w}] &\leq y_0 \leq 1 + y, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The factorization formula for the total decay width is written, up to $O(\bar{\Lambda}/m_b)$, as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\bar{u}d} = \Gamma_0 \int dw dxdydy_0 c(m_b) &\left\{ \left(1 + 8\frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{m_b}\right) (y_0 - x)(w + x - y - \alpha_c^2)\delta(w)\delta(1 - y_0 + y) \right. \\ &- \frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{m_b} [(y_0 - x)(y - w + \alpha_c^2)J(w)J(1 - y_0 + y) - 2(y_0 - x)(w + x - y - \alpha_c^2)wJ'(w)J(1 - y_0 + y) \\ &\left. + (y_0 - x)(w + x - y - \alpha_c^2)(y_0 - 2y)J(w)J'(1 - y_0 + y)] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The prefactors Γ_0 for the nonleptonic modes are the same as that for the $b \rightarrow cl\bar{\nu}$ decays with $|V_{ud}| = |V_{cs}| = 1$.

Setting m_B to m_b , *i.e.*, $\bar{\Lambda} = 0$, Eqs. (10), (13) and (15) reduce to the quark-level formalism. To estimate the strength of the $\bar{\Lambda}/m_b$ corrections, we propose the parametrization,

$$J_p(1-t) = (a+1)(a+2)t^a(1-t), \quad (16)$$

where the free parameter a is determined by fitting $\tilde{J}_p(N)$ to $\tilde{J}(N)|_{\alpha_s}$ for the first few N . Equation (16) is normalized to unity, approaches the $\delta(1-t)$, *i.e.*, the lowest-order expression of the jet function, as $a \rightarrow \infty$ ($\alpha_s \rightarrow 0$), and obeys the boundary conditions $J_p(0) = J_p(1) = 0$. For a fixed α_s , we have the moments $\tilde{J}(2) = \exp(-\gamma_K)$, $\tilde{J}(3) = \exp(-7\gamma_K/4)$, $\dots$. The fit of $\tilde{J}_p(N)$ to these moments implies $a = 15 \sim 25$. We have confirmed that the numerical results are insensitive to the variation of a within the above range, and to the parametrization form of the jet function. Below we shall choose $a = 20$.

The above formalism applies to the B_u , B_s , and Λ_b decays simply by redefining the variable $\bar{\Lambda} = m_H - m_b$. It is observed that the increase of the b quark mass just decreases the total decay rates, and has a small effect on the relative rates among different modes. The predictions are more sensitive to the variation of the charm mass: B_{SL} increases, while n_c decreases with m_c . The total decay rates also decrease with m_c . We choose $m_b = 4.8$ GeV (corresponding to $c(m_b) = 3.24$) and $m_c = 1.5$ GeV as representative parameters. The results from the quark-level calculation and from the inclusion of the $1/m_b$ corrections are displayed in Table I, for which the masses $m_{B_d} = m_{B_u} = 5.279$ GeV, $m_{B_s} = 5.369$ GeV and $m_{\Lambda_b} = 5.621$ GeV have been adopted. It is found that the $1/m_b$ corrections enhance the $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$ decay rate more than the $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$ decay rate, such that B_{SL} is reduced without increasing n_c . The consistency with the observed lifetime ratios $\tau(B_s)/\tau(B_d)$ and $\tau(\Lambda_b)/\tau(B_d)$ is also improved. The ratio $\tau(B_u)/\tau(B_d)$ remains to be unity, implying that the B_d and B_u meson lifetime difference may be attributed to $1/m_b^2$ or perturbative corrections [16]. Note that the absolute heavy hadron lifetimes for $|V_{cb}| = 0.04$ are still larger than the data by 30%, though the consistency is greatly improved. This discrepancy is expected to be removed by subleading contributions.

We thank A. Falk for useful discussion. This work was supported in part by the National Science Council of R.O.C. under the Grant No. NSC-90-2112-M-001-077 and by National Center for Theoretical Sciences, R.O.C.

- [1] P. Drell, hep-ex/9711020.
- [2] M. Feindt, hep-ph/9802380.
- [3] R.W. Forty, Proceedings for the 4th International Workshop on B Physics and CP Violation, p.154, Ise-Shima, Japan, Feb. 2001.
- [4] J. Chay, H. Georgi and B. Grinstein, Phys. Lett. B **247**, 399 (1990).
- [5] G. Altarelli and S. Petrarca, Phys. Lett. B **261**, 303 (1991).
- [6] M. Neubert, hep-ph/9801269.
- [7] M. Neubert and C. T. Sachrajda, Nucl. Phys. **B483**, 339 (1997).
- [8] B. Grinstein and R.F. Lebed, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 1366 (1998).
- [9] G. Altarelli, G. Martinelli, S. Petrarca, and F. Rapuano, Phys. Lett. B **382**, 409 (1996).
- [10] H.Y. Cheng, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 2783 (1997).
- [11] H-n. Li and H.L. Yu, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 4970 (1996).
- [12] M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 3392 (1994); Phys. Rev. D **49**, 4623 (1994).
- [13] I.I. Bigi, M.A. Shifman, N.G. Uraltsev, and A.I. Vainshtein, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **9**, 2467 (1994).
- [14] G.P. Korchemsky and G. Sterman, Phys. Lett. B **340**, 96 (1994); R. Akhouri and I.Z. Rothstein, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 2349 (1996).
- [15] H-n. Li, hep-ph/0102013.
- [16] M. Ciuchini *et al.*, hep-ph/0110375 and references therein.

Table I. The predicted B_{SL} , n_c , $\tau(B_u)/\tau(B_d)$, $\tau(B_s)/\tau(B_d)$, $\tau(\Lambda_b)/\tau(B_d)$, and $\tau(B_d)/\tau(B_d)_{\text{exp}}$ for $\tau(B_d)_{\text{exp}} = 1.56 \times 10^{12} s$. The data of the lifetime ratios are $\tau(B_u)/\tau(B_d) = 1.066 \pm 0.020$ and $\tau(B_s)/\tau(B_d) = 0.945 \pm 0.039$ [3].

	B_{SL}	n_c	$\tau(B_u)/\tau(B_d)$	$\tau(B_s)/\tau(B_d)$	$\tau(\Lambda_b)/\tau(B_d)$	$\tau(B_d)/\tau(B_d)_{\text{exp}}$
quark level	12.9%	1.18	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.33
$1/m_b$ correction	10.6%	1.19	1.0	0.93	0.75	1.32